# Estimation of low-rank states by random measurements

Jonas KAHN Cristina Butucea, Mădălin Guță

> Toulouse January 24th, 2016

## Compressed sensing

## Unknown parameter in dimension *d* Sparse

#### Compressed sensing

Unknown parameter in dimension dSparse : belongs to a subspace of dimension s



#### Compressed sensing

Unknown parameter in dimension *d* Sparse : belongs to a subspace of dimension *s* We need (about) *s* measurements to find the parameter.



- Unknown matrix A in  $\mathbb{R}^{p_1+p_2}$ , rank r.
- Measurements  $y_i = \text{Tr}(X_iA) + z$ , where  $X_i$  chosen and z Gaussian noise.

- ► Unknown matrix A in ℝ<sup>p1+p2</sup>, rank r. We need r(p1 + p2) numbers to describe it.
- ► Measurements y<sub>i</sub> = Tr(X<sub>i</sub>A) + z, where X<sub>i</sub> chosen and z Gaussian noise.

- ► Unknown matrix A in ℝ<sup>p1+p2</sup>, rank r. We need r(p1 + p2) numbers to describe it.
- ► Measurements y<sub>i</sub> = Tr(X<sub>i</sub>A) + z, where X<sub>i</sub> chosen and z Gaussian noise.

#### Theorem (Candès and Plan)

If the  $X_i$  have independent Gaussian entries, we may rebuild A with about  $r(p_1 + p_2)$  measurements.

- ► Unknown matrix A in ℝ<sup>p1+p2</sup>, rank r. We need r(p1 + p2) numbers to describe it.
- ► Measurements y<sub>i</sub> = Tr(X<sub>i</sub>A) + z, where X<sub>i</sub> chosen and z Gaussian noise.

#### Theorem (Candès and Plan)

If the  $X_i$  have independent Gaussian entries, we may rebuild A with about  $r(p_1 + p_2)$  measurements.

## Theorem (Cai and Zhang)

If the  $X_i = f_i^* g_i$  are rank-one with  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  having independent Gaussian entries, we may rebuild A with about  $r(p_1 + p_2)$  measurements.

#### Quantum setting

#### State

Density matrix  $\rho \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$ , non-negative, with trace 1.

#### Positive Operator-Valued Measure (POVM)

A measure with results in  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$  is a set  $\{M(A)\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$  of positive operators that are :

Positive  $M(A) \ge 0$ 

Normalised  $M(\mathcal{X}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ 

Countably additive  $M(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} M(A_i)$  for all disjoint  $A_i$ The M(A) are called POVM elements.

#### Result of a measurement

The law of the result X of the measurement **M** applied to X the state  $\rho$  is

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X\in A\right]=\mathsf{Tr}[\rho M(A)].$$

For symmetric matrices and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty,$  the Schatten  $p\text{-norm } \left\|\cdot\right\|_p$  is given by

$$\|A\|_p^p = \sum_m |\lambda_m(A)|^p,$$

where the  $\lambda_m(A)$  are the eigenvalues of A.

In particular, the Schatten 1-norm is the trace norm, the 2-norm is the Frobenius norm and the  $\infty$ -norm is the spectral norm.

#### Density matrices as classical mixture

For any set 
$$\vec{\lambda} = \{\lambda_m\}_{1 \le m \le r}$$
 such that :  
 $\lambda_m > 0$  for all  $i$ ,  
 $\sum \lambda_m = 1$ ,

we may consider the set of density matrices in  $M_d(\mathbb{C})$  with those non-zero eigenvalues :

$$\mathcal{S}_{d,\vec{\lambda}} = \{ \rho \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) : \lambda_m(\rho) = \lambda_m \delta_{m \leq r} \} \,.$$

In particular r is the rank of  $\rho$ .

#### Density matrices as classical mixture

For any set 
$$\vec{\lambda} = \{\lambda_m\}_{1 \le m \le r}$$
 such that :  
 $\lambda_m > 0$  for all  $i$ ,  
 $\sum \lambda_m = 1$ ,

we may consider the set of density matrices in  $M_d(\mathbb{C})$  with those non-zero eigenvalues :

$$\mathcal{S}_{d,\vec{\lambda}} = \{ \rho \in M_d(\mathbb{C}) : \lambda_m(\rho) = \lambda_m \delta_{m \leq r} \} \,.$$

In particular r is the rank of  $\rho$ . Moreover,

$$\rho = \sum_{m} \lambda_{m} \left| \phi_{m} \right\rangle \left\langle \phi_{m} \right|$$

where the  $|\phi_m\rangle$  are the eigenvectors of  $\rho$ .

Density matrices as classical mixture II

Measuring  $\rho$  with POVM M is equivalent to :

- 1. Choose *m* according to the probability distribution  $\vec{\lambda}$ .
- 2. Measure  $|\phi_m\rangle \langle \phi_m|$  with POVM *M*.
- 3. Forget *m*.

Lower bound on estimation of low-rank quantum states

#### Proposition

Suppose we have n copies of a state  $\rho \in S_{d,\vec{\lambda}}$ . For any estimator  $\hat{\rho}$ , the worst-case error has the lower bound :

$$\inf_{\hat{\rho}} \sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{S}_{d,\vec{\lambda}}} \mathbb{E}_{\rho} \left[ \left\| \hat{\rho} - \rho \right\|_{\rho} \right] \geq C_{\rho} \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} \left( \sum \lambda_{m}^{\rho/2} \right)^{1/\rho}$$

•

Lower bound on estimation of low-rank quantum states

#### Proposition

Suppose we have n copies of a state  $\rho \in S_{d,\vec{\lambda}}$ . For any estimator  $\hat{\rho}$ , the worst-case error has the lower bound :

$$\inf_{\hat{\rho}} \sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{S}_{\boldsymbol{d},\vec{\lambda}}} \mathbb{E}_{\rho} \left[ \left\| \hat{\rho} - \rho \right\|_{\boldsymbol{p}} \right] \geq C_{\boldsymbol{p}} \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} \left( \sum \lambda_{m}^{\boldsymbol{p}/2} \right)^{1/\boldsymbol{p}}$$

In particular, the corresponding lower bound on all rank r matrices is proportional to :

Trace norm 
$$\sqrt{\frac{rd}{n}}$$
  
Frobenius norm  $\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}$ , not depending on  $\vec{\lambda}$ .  
Spectral norm  $\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}$ .

## Totally random measurement

#### Definitions

- 1. Choose an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^d$  uniformly at random
- 2. Use the projection measurement in that basis

## Totally random measurement

#### Definitions

- 1. Choose an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^d$  uniformly at random
- 2. Use the projection measurement in that basis

Equivalently, the POVM of the totally random measurement is given by the POVM with values in the pure states and

$$M(A) = \int_{A} \ket{\psi} \langle \psi \ket{\mathrm{d}} \mu(\psi),$$

where  $\mu$  is the Haar measure on the set of pure states (the projective space).

## Totally random measurement

#### Definitions

- 1. Choose an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^d$  uniformly at random
- 2. Use the projection measurement in that basis

Equivalently, the POVM of the totally random measurement is given by the POVM with values in the pure states and

$$M(A) = \int_{A} \ket{\psi} \langle \psi \ket{\mathrm{d}} \mu(\psi),$$

where  $\mu$  is the Haar measure on the set of pure states (the projective space).

The result of the measurement is a rank-one state  $|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$ .

## Totally random measurement II

Suppose that the state  $\rho$  is a pure state  $|e\rangle\,\langle e|.$  By symmetry, the result of the totally random measurement on  $\rho$  has expectation

$$\mathbb{E}_{e}\left[\left|\psi\right\rangle\left\langle\psi\right|\right] = \alpha\left|e\right\rangle\left\langle e\right| + \beta\mathbf{1}$$
$$= \alpha\rho + \beta\mathbf{1}$$

## Totally random measurement II

Suppose that the state  $\rho$  is a pure state  $|e\rangle\,\langle e|.$  By symmetry, the result of the totally random measurement on  $\rho$  has expectation

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{e}}\left[\left|\psi\right\rangle\left\langle\psi\right|\right] = \alpha\left|\boldsymbol{e}\right\rangle\left\langle\boldsymbol{e}\right| + \beta\mathbf{1}$$
$$= \alpha\rho + \beta\mathbf{1}$$

By linearity, the formula is still true if  $\rho$  is not rank-one.

## Totally random measurement II

Suppose that the state  $\rho$  is a pure state  $|e\rangle\,\langle e|.$  By symmetry, the result of the totally random measurement on  $\rho$  has expectation

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{e}}\left[\left|\psi\right\rangle\left\langle\psi\right|\right] = \alpha\left|\boldsymbol{e}\right\rangle\left\langle\boldsymbol{e}\right| + \beta\mathbf{1}$$
$$= \alpha\rho + \beta\mathbf{1}$$

By linearity, the formula is still true if  $\rho$  is not rank-one. Calculations yield

$$\mathbb{E}_{
ho}\left[\ket{\psi}ra{\psi}
ight]=rac{1}{d+1}\left(
ho+1
ight).$$

#### Totally random measurement III

- We have *n* copies of  $\rho$ .
- We measure each of them with the TRM.
- We get the results  $|\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$  for  $i \leq n$ .

Recall

$$\mathbb{E}_{
ho}\left[\ket{\psi}ig\langle\psi
ight] = rac{1}{d+1}\left(
ho+1
ight).$$

Natural estimator of  $\rho$ 

$$\hat{
ho} = rac{d+1}{n}\sum \ket{\psi_i}ra{\psi_i}-1$$

#### Totally random measurement III

- We have *n* copies of  $\rho$ .
- We measure each of them with the TRM.
- We get the results  $|\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$  for  $i \leq n$ .

Recall

$$\mathbb{E}_{
ho}\left[\ket{\psi}ig\langle\psi
ight] = rac{1}{d+1}\left(
ho+1
ight).$$

Natural estimator of  $\rho$ 

$$\hat{\rho} = \Pi_{\mathcal{S}} \left[ \frac{d+1}{n} \sum |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| - \mathbf{1} \right]$$

#### Totally random measurement III

- We have *n* copies of  $\rho$ .
- We measure each of them with the TRM.
- We get the results  $|\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$  for  $i \leq n$ .

Recall

$$\mathbb{E}_{
ho}\left[\ket{\psi}ig\langle\psi
ight] = rac{1}{d+1}\left(
ho+1
ight).$$

Natural estimator of  $\rho$ 

$$\hat{\rho} = \Pi_{\mathcal{S}} \left[ \frac{d+1}{n} \sum |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| - \mathbf{1} \right]$$
$$= \left[ \frac{d+1}{n} \sum |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| - \alpha \mathbf{1} \right]_+$$

Totally random measurement estimator

#### Theorem

If  $\rho \in S_{d,r}$ , then the risk of the totally random measurement procedure is bounded from above by :

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho}\left[\left\|\hat{\rho}-\rho\right\|_{\rho}\right] \leq C_{\rho}r^{\frac{1}{p}}\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}.$$

Totally random measurement estimator

#### Theorem

If  $\rho \in S_{d,r}$ , then the risk of the totally random measurement procedure is bounded from above by :

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho}\left[\left\|\hat{\rho}-\rho\right\|_{\rho}\right] \leq C_{\rho}r^{\frac{1}{p}}\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}.$$

In particular, it is minimax optimal for the Frobenius norm, up to a constant.

Write 
$$X_i = |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| - \frac{1}{d+1} (\rho + 1).$$

Write 
$$X_i = |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| - \frac{1}{d+1} (\rho + 1)$$
. Then  
 $\hat{\rho} = \prod_{\mathcal{S}} [\rho + R], \qquad \qquad R = \frac{d+1}{n} \sum X_i.$ 

Write 
$$X_i = |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| - \frac{1}{d+1} (\rho + 1)$$
. Then  
 $\hat{\rho} = \prod_{\mathcal{S}} [\rho + R], \qquad \qquad R = \frac{d+1}{n} \sum X_i.$ 

#### Concentration inequality by Tropp

If  $X_i$  are independent centered Hermitian matrices with  $\|X_i\|_{\infty} \leq W$  and  $\left\|\mathbb{E}\left[\sum X_i^2\right]_{\infty}\right\| < V$ , then for all t > 0:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left\|\sum X_i\right\|_{\infty} \geq t\right] \leq 2d \exp\left(-\frac{t^2/2}{V+tW/3}\right).$$

Write 
$$X_i = |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| - \frac{1}{d+1}(\rho + 1)$$
. Then  
 $\hat{\rho} = \prod_{\mathcal{S}} [\rho + R], \qquad \qquad R = \frac{d+1}{n} \sum X_i.$ 

#### Concentration inequality by Tropp

If  $X_i$  are independent centered Hermitian matrices with  $\|X_i\|_{\infty} \leq W$  and  $\left\|\mathbb{E}\left[\sum X_i^2\right]_{\infty}\right\| < V$ , then for all t > 0:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left\|\sum X_i\right\|_{\infty} \geq t\right] \leq 2d \exp\left(-\frac{t^2/2}{V+tW/3}\right).$$

Here W = 1 and V = 2/d. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|R\right\|_{\infty}\right] \leq C\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}.$$

$$\hat{\rho} = \Pi_{\mathcal{S}} \left[ \rho + R \right], \qquad \qquad \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| R \right\|_{\infty} \right] \le C \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}.$$

$$\hat{\rho} = \Pi_{\mathcal{S}} \left[ \rho + R \right], \qquad \qquad \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| R \right\|_{\infty} \right] \leq C \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}.$$

Since  $\rho$  has rank r, the error  $\rho-\hat{\rho}$  has at most r positive eigenvalues. The error has trace zero. So that :

$$\|\hat{\rho} - \rho\|_{1} \le 2r \|\hat{\rho} - \rho\|_{\infty}.$$

$$\hat{\rho} = \Pi_{\mathcal{S}} \left[ \rho + R \right], \qquad \qquad \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| R \right\|_{\infty} \right] \leq C \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}.$$

Since  $\rho$  has rank r, the error  $\rho-\hat{\rho}$  has at most r positive eigenvalues. The error has trace zero. So that :

$$\|\hat{\rho} - \rho\|_{1} \le 2r \|\hat{\rho} - \rho\|_{\infty}.$$

By interpolation,

$$\|\hat{\rho}-\rho\|_{p} \leq C_{p}r^{1/p}\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}.$$

$$\hat{\rho} = \Pi_{\mathcal{S}} \left[ \rho + R \right], \qquad \qquad \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\| R \right\|_{\infty} \right] \le C \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}.$$

Since  $\rho$  has rank r, the error  $\rho - \hat{\rho}$  has at most r positive eigenvalues. The error has trace zero. So that :

$$\|\hat{\rho} - \rho\|_{1} \le 2r \|\hat{\rho} - \rho\|_{\infty}.$$

By interpolation,

$$\|\hat{\rho}-\rho\|_{p} \leq C_{p}r^{1/p}\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}.$$

Stability to perturbations

$$\|\hat{\rho}-\rho\|_{p} \leq C_{p} \left(r+\sum_{i>r}\lambda_{i}(\rho)\sqrt{\frac{n}{d}}\right)^{1/p}\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}.$$

Perspectives : multiple ions

If our state  $\rho$  is multipartite, then the TRM is a collective measurement. Hard.

If our state  $\rho$  is multipartite, then the TRM is a collective measurement. Hard.

#### Typical case

 $\rho \in M_{2^b}(\mathbb{C})$  is the state of *b* qubits. Each qubit is measured individually using a Pauli observable. Easy.

If our state  $\rho$  is multipartite, then the TRM is a collective measurement. Hard.

#### Typical case

 $\rho \in M_{2^b}(\mathbb{C})$  is the state of *b* qubits. Each qubit is measured individually using a Pauli observable. Easy.

Do we get the same speeds?

Perspectives : multiple ions II

## Theorem (Xia, Koltchinskii; Cai et al.)

 $E_1, \ldots, E_{d^2}$  basis of the Hilbert space  $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ . Data is  $\text{Tr}(\rho E_i) + \xi_i$ . The squared minimax rate in Frobenius norm is  $d^2/n = 4^b/n$ .

#### Theorem (Xia, Koltchinskii; Cai et al.)

 $E_1, \ldots, E_{d^2}$  basis of the Hilbert space  $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ . Data is  $\text{Tr}(\rho E_i) + \xi_i$ . The squared minimax rate in Frobenius norm is  $d^2/n = 4^b/n$ .

#### Theorem (Butucea, Guță, Kypraios)

For real quantum Pauli measurements, the squared estimation rate is bounded from above by  $3^b/n$ .

#### Theorem (Xia, Koltchinskii; Cai et al.)

 $E_1, \ldots, E_{d^2}$  basis of the Hilbert space  $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ . Data is  $\text{Tr}(\rho E_i) + \xi_i$ . The squared minimax rate in Frobenius norm is  $d^2/n = 4^b/n$ .

#### Theorem (Butucea, Guță, Kypraios)

For real quantum Pauli measurements, the squared estimation rate is bounded from above by  $3^b/n$ .

The Fisher information of the measurement on a relevant subspace would yield a  $2^{b}/n$  bound.



Thank you!